

REMARKS

Claims 61-71 remain pending in the present application. Claims 61 and 66 have been amended. Basis for the amendments can be found throughout the specification, claims and drawings as originally filed.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 61-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Crowe (U.S. Pat. No. 4,941,530). Claims 61 and 66 have been amended such that the refrigerating tank defines an evaporating region for reserving refrigerant to be boiled. The radiator defines a condensing region for releasing heat. Both the first and second area increasing means are defined as being disposed in the evaporating region of the refrigerant tank to divide the inside of the evaporating region of the refrigerant tank into first and second, respectively, passages.

Crowe discloses a cooling apparatus which has fin 11 and fin 12 as pointed out by the Examiner. While fin 12 may be in the evaporating region, fin 11 is disposed in the condensing region. (Column 4, lines 40-45). Figure 2 of Crowe discloses a cooling apparatus which has fins 11, 12 and 24. Again, while fin 12 may be in the evaporating region, fins 11 and 24 are disposed within the condensing region. (Column 6, lines 31-34). Thus, Crowe discloses two or three fins. Crowe only discloses one fin located in the evaporating region and not two boiling area increasing means as is now claimed in Claims 61 and 66.

Thus, Applicant believes Claims 61 and 66, as amended, patentably distinguish over the art of record. Likewise, Claims 62-65 which ultimately depend from Claim 61

and Claims 67-71 which ultimately depend from Claim 66 are also believed to patentably distinguish over the art of record. Reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 20, 2004

By: 

Michael J. Schmidt, 34,007

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

MJS/pmg